

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,137	AKERFELDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A Roberts	3731	

All Participants:

(1) Paul A Roberts.

(2) Martin J Cosenza.

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 - 24

Prior art documents discussed:

US 5350399

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant in attempt to amend claims around the scope of the reference US 5350399. Applicant's amendment is attached. The first attempt was unsuccessful at overturning the rejection. A second attempt was made which resulted in claims which did not appear read on reference '399. Those amendments are written in ink on the attached amendment. Applicant, at that point, decided to cancel the amendment process and require the examiner to issue a written action.